

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

RICHARD C. VICKERMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE DEPT. OF HOUSING AND URBAN
DEV. (HUD), et al.,

Defendants.

03:03-CV-00222-LRH-VPC

ORDER

Presently before the court is a Motion to Reconsider (# 159¹) filed by Plaintiff Richard Vickerman (“Vickerman”). Vickerman asks this court to reconsider its May 1, 2008, Order (# 157) finding that it would be inappropriate to enter default against Larry Robinson (“Robinson”). In its Order (# 157), the court concluded that Robinson is not a named party in this action and has not been served with process. Vickerman’s motion has not provided any basis to disturb this conclusion. Vickerman has not demonstrated that Robinson is a named party to this action nor has he demonstrated that Robinson was served with process. At this late stage in the proceedings, it would be inappropriate to permit any amendment to the complaint to add additional parties. *See Forsyth v. Humana, Inc.*, 114 F.3d 1467, 1482 (9th Cir. 1997).

¹Refers to the court’s docket number.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Vickerman's Motion to Reconsider (# 159) is hereby
2 DENIED.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED this 20th day of May, 2008.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "L. Hicks", is positioned above the printed name of the judge.

7 LARRY R. HICKS
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26